

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s):	U. HANNSMANN et al.	Examiner	Ann J. Chempakaseril
Serial No.	10/539,644	Group Art Unit	2166
Filed	June 15, 2005	Docket No.	DE920020028US1
TITLE	A METHOD FOR PROVIDING OF CONTENT DATA TO A CLIENT		

---

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ann J. Chempakaseril of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 15, 2010.

/David Victor/  
David W. Victor

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

This Amendment is submitted in response to a final office action in the above case dated April 15, 2010 ("FOA3"), in which the Examiner rejected the claims as obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. Applicants held a phone interview with the Examiner on June 22, 2010 discussing amending the base claims 1, 47, and 55 to include the requirements of pending dependent claims 40, 52, and 60 amendments and arguments. The Examiner said that he would enter these amendments incorporating dependent claims into base claims and reconsider the rejection in view of the arguments presented with respect to these amendment claims. Applicants cancel claims 40, 52, and 60. Applicants traverse the rejections and submit that all pending claims 1, 16, 17, 45-47, 49, 50, 53-55, 57, 58, and 61-68 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 9.